

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/737,281</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) WEI ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner MICHAEL PHAM</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2167</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/737,281	Applicant(s) WEI ET AL.	Examiner MICHAEL PHAM	Art Unit 2167
Application No. 10/737,281	Applicant(s) WEI ET AL.				
Examiner MICHAEL PHAM	Art Unit 2167				

All Participants: _____ Status of Application: _____

(1) MICHAEL PHAM. (3) Shawn Doman (reg. 60362).

(2) _____. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 June 2010 Time: 5:40pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization was given to make an examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael Pham /
 Examiner, Art Unit 2167

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)